

FILED

2008 JAN -4 PM 3: 36

UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
REGION IX

U.S. EPA, REGION IX
REGIONAL HEARING CLERK

IN THE MATTER OF:

U.S. Pole Company, Inc.,
Respondent

)
)
)
)
)

U.S. EPA Docket No.
CAA-09-2007-0031

ORDER GRANTING RESPONDENT'S SECOND MOTION FOR EXTENSION
OF TIME TO FILE ANSWER

By motion filed January 3, 2008, Respondent, U.S. Pole Company, Inc. seeks a thirty (30) day extension of time, up to and including February 6, 2008, to file an answer to the Complaint. Complainant's counsel does not object to Respondent's proposed extension of time to file an answer.


Consolidated Rules of Practice, 40 C.F.R. § 22.07(b), provides that a motion for extension of time may be granted "for good cause shown, and after consideration of prejudice to other parties."

On the basis of Respondent's motion, it appears that good cause exists for the extension of time, and there will be no prejudice to either party from granting the requested extension.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED THAT:

The time for Respondent to answer the Complaint and request a hearing is extended to and including February 6, 2008.

Dated: 01/04/08


Steven L. Jawgiel
Regional Judicial Officer

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that the original of the foregoing **ORDER GRANTING RESPONDENT'S SECOND MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE ANSWER** in the matter of **U.S. Pole Company, Inc., Docket # CAA-09-2007-0031**, signed by Steven L. Jawgiel, Regional Judicial Officer, was served on each of the parties at the address given below by mailing first class as here indicated:

Daniel Reich, Esq.
Assistant Regional Counsel
U. S. EPA, Region 9
75 Hawthorne Street, ORC-2
San Francisco, California 94105

Hand Delivered

Patricia M. O'Toole, Esq.
THE O'TOOLE LAW FIRM
P.O. Box 352348
Los Angeles, California 90035-0260

First Class Mail

Dated at San Francisco, California, this 8th day of January, 2007



Danielle E. Carr
Regional Hearing Clerk
U.S. EPA, Region 9